

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,380 01/22/2001		01/22/2001	Fumio Nagashima	1080.1045CIPD3	1046	
21171	7590	05/14/2002				
STAAS & 1			EXAMINER			
700 11TH ST SUITE 500	rreet, i	NW		KHATRI	KHATRI, ANIL	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					TATER NOMBER	
				2122	ľ	
				DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

d

Application No. 09/765,380

Applicant(s)

Nagashima et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Anil Khatri Art Unit

2122



		JUURIA LIFU INING
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	TO EVOIDE O MONTHUO EDOM
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
_) date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
-	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 22, 2</u>	001 .
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>53-55</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>53-55</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗀	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	•
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
. —	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) ∟ 15) □	The state of the s	
Attachm	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.
_	errius) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/765,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The following title is suggested: "Method For Storing Software Objects From Existing Software Components".

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: As per claimed subject matter recites "storing a component" which includes a method. It is unclear that how a method has been stored and in what component/object each method will carry out the instructions stored on storage medium for existing software. Furthermore, "method of issuing an event" is vague and indefinite, since the subject matter lacks actual step for said method(s) to establish any relationship in said method and objects.

.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,380 Page 3

Art Unit: 2122

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 6. Claim 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they disclose storing component serving as an object does not produce any concrete results.
- 7. Analysis: In claims 53-55 the invention found to be in technical art since the invention is to be practice on a computer. Each of the claim is disclosed by an application as being storing medium. Since the claims are each a series of step to be performed on a computer the processes must be analyzed to determine whether they are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Examiner interpret that claims are non-statutory because they are not new and useful. Specifically, the claimed subject matter "component storage medium for components" are not new and does not produce any concrete and tangible results. Applicant present no substance upon which the claimed inventions acts and on this basis examiner interprets that applicants invention to produce a useful results and its functionality can be realized. Therefore, claims 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/765,380 Page 4

Art Unit: 2122

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Shackelford et al* US Patent no. 5,265,206.

Regarding claim 53

Shackelford et al teaches,

- storage for a component which serve as an object in combination with existing software component including a method of issuing an event of the existing software in response to a message issued in another object (see abstract and figures 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 54

Shackelford et al teaches,

- component further includes a message for informing other object that the event is issued through executing method (column 2, lines 1-18, "the method corresponds... message call").

Regarding claim 55

Shackelford et al teaches,

- a component which serve as one object in combination with existing software component including a message for informing other objects upon receipt of occurrence of an event of the existing software that the event is generated (see abstract and figures 3 and 4, column 20, lines 34-65, "object manager....class if not loaded").

Art Unit: 2122

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Arnold et al US Patent no. 5,551,035
- Misheski et al US Patent no. 6,298,476
- Jung et al US Patent no. 6,330,527
- Dellinger et al US Patent no. 6,292,925

Correspondence Information

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri whose telephone number is (703) 305-0282, Mon-Fri (8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.) or contact Supervisor Mr. Gregory Morse at (703) 308-4789.
- 12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose phone number is (703) 305-3900.

May 9, 2002

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2122